

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,595 | 02/05/2004 | George Bokisa | TASKP104US | 5184 | |
| 23623 AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WONG, EDNA | | |
| | 24TH FLOOR, CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1795 | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/03/2008 | EI ECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

| Evaminar Initiated Interview Comment | 10/772,595 | BOKISA ET AL. | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | EDNA WONG | 1795 | | | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | | | | |
| 1) <u>EDNA WONG</u> . (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Gregory Turocy</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 24 June 2008 | Time: <u>10:34 am</u> | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Skhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE | DAL MATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: | | | |
| Confirmed abandonment. | KALINATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCOSSED. | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| /Edna Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)